UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/572,967	03/21/2006	Andrew J. Hardwick	36-1962	4570
23117 NIXON & VAN	7590 08/12/201 NDERHYE, PC	EXAMINER		
901 NORTH GLEBE ROAD, 11TH FLOOR			SADIO, INSA	
ARLINGTON, VA 22203			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2629	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/12/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Panel Decision
from Pre-Appeal Brief
Review

Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Pate Reexamination	ent under
10/572,967	HARDWICK, AN	NDREW J.
	Art Unit	
INSA SADIO	2629	
	-	-

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal	Brief Request for Review filed 20 June 2011.
 Improper Request – The Regression reason(s): 	request is improper and a conference will not be held for the following
The request does not incl	not been filed concurrent with the Pre-Appeal Brief Request. ude reasons why a review is appropriate. s included with the Pre-Appeal Brief request.
The time period for filing a respon the mail date of the last Office cor	se continues to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from mmunication, if no Notice of Appeal has been received.
held. The application remains und is required to submit an appeal br brief will be reset to be one month running from the receipt of the no	ht Appeals and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been der appeal because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant ief in accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal in from mailing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period tice of appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the 7 CFR 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date ble.
The panel has determined Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from core	
	conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of ution on the merits remains closed. No further action is required by
	onference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office action is required by applicant at this time.
All participants:	
(1) <u>INSA SADIO</u> .	(3)
(2) <u>LunYi Lao</u> .	(4)
/INSA SADIO/ Examiner, Art Unit 2629	/LUN-YI LAO/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2629